1	RENÉ P. VOSS (CA Bar No. 255758)			
	Natural Resources Law			
2	15 Alderney Road San Anselmo, CA 94960			
3	Tel: (415) 446-9027			
	renepvoss@gmail.com			
4	Attorney for Plaintiff			
5	SALLY J. SULLIVAN (DC Bar No. 1021930	0)		
_	Trial Attorney			
6	U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division			
7	Natural Resources Section			
	4 Constitution Square			
8	150 M Street NE			
9	Washington, DC 20002			
9	Tel: (202) 514-9269 sally.sullivan@usdoj.gov			
10	Attorney for Defendants			
11	Additional attorney information on signature page			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	NORTHERN D SAN FRA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC		
14	NORTHERN D SAN FRA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION		
14 15	NORTHERN DO SAN FRAME ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL		
14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17 18	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino National Forest; and the UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino National Forest; and the UNITED STATES	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino National Forest; and the UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17 18 19	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino National Forest; and the UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NORTHERN DO SAN FRATE SAN FRATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION CENTER, Plaintiff, v. ANN CARLSON, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor of the Mendocino National Forest; and the UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANCISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:19-cv-6643-EMC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL Administrative Procedure Act Case,		

This Settlement Agreement and Stipulation of Dismissal ("Agreement" or "Stipulation"),
is entered into by and between Plaintiff Environmental Protection Information Center ("EPIC" or
"Plaintiff"), and Defendants Ann Carlson, in her official capacity as the Forest Supervisor for the
Mendocino National Forest, and the United States Forest Service (collectively, the "Forest
Service" or "Defendants"), (together, the "Parties"), and fully and finally resolves the claims
brought by Plaintiff in the above-captioned litigation. The Parties, by and through their
undersigned counsel state as follows:

- 1. WHEREAS, on October 16, 2019, EPIC filed suit alleging that the Forest Service violated the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") in approving the Ranch Fire Roadside Hazard Tree Project (the "Project"). ECF No. 1.
- 2. WHEREAS, Defendants dispute Plaintiff's allegations and deny that Plaintiff is entitled to its requested relief. ECF No. 67.
- 3. WHEREAS, EPIC sought both a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. ECF Nos. 11, 18.
- 4. WHEREAS, on December 10, 2019, this Court denied EPIC's motion for preliminary injunction. ECF No. 56.
 - 5. WHEREAS, EPIC appealed that order to the Ninth Circuit. ECF No. 61.
- 6. WHEREAS, following briefing from EPIC, the Forest Service, and Intervenor-Appellee, Sierra Pacific Industries ("Intervenor-Appellee"), a Ninth Circuit panel including Judge Fletcher, Judge Lee, and Judge Settle of the Western District of Washington (sitting by designation) heard argument on May 27, 2020.

- 7. WHEREAS, on August 3, 2020, a divided panel issued a published opinion reversing this Court's order denying EPIC's request for a preliminary injunction. ECF No. 73. Judge Fletcher, joined by Judge Settle, wrote for the majority and Judge Lee dissented. *See id*.
- 8. WHEREAS, the Forest Service petitioned for panel rehearing on October 1, 2020. Intervenor-Appellee petitioned for panel rehearing and rehearing *en banc* on October 2, 2020.
- 9. WHEREAS, on October 27, 2020, the Ninth Circuit entered an order denying the petitions. Judge Fletcher and Judge Settle voted to deny the petitions for panel rehearing. Judge Lee voted to grant the petitions for panel rehearing. Judge Fletcher and Judge Lee voted to deny the petition for rehearing *en banc*, and Judge Settle so recommended. No judge of the court requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter *en banc*. ECF No. 78.
- 10. WHEREAS, on November 4, 2020, the Ninth Circuit issued the mandate to this Court. ECF No. 79.
- 11. WHEREAS, on November 10, 2020, the parties filed a Joint Status Report with the Court describing the status of Project activities and the Parties' discussions regarding a potential out-of-court resolution. ECF No. 80.
- 12. WHEREAS, on November 18, 2020, Plaintiff filed an unopposed motion in the Ninth Circuit to transfer consideration of attorneys' fees on appeal to this Court, and on December 3, 2020, the panel issued an order transferring consideration of attorneys' fees on appeal to this Court. ECF No. 81.
- 13. WHEREAS, the Parties have reached a resolution, which will fully and finally resolve this litigation, including Plaintiff's claim to attorneys' fees and costs, and which they believe to be fair and adequate, and, on that basis, submit this Stipulation.
 - **NOW THEREFORE**, it is stipulated by and between the Parties as follows:

1. The Forest Service will not proceed with the following previously-approved
commercial timber sales: Bartlett Roadside Fire Salvage; Deer Valley Roadside Fire Salvage
M3 Roadside Fire Salvage; M5-Pacific Roadside Fire Salvage; M10 West Roadside Fire
Salvage; or Pine Mountain Roadside Fire Salvage.

- 2. The Forest Service may proceed with operations under the M10-Letts Stewardship Agreement, which involves three funding-contingent phases of primarily non-commercial, non-sawtimber activities. Phase 1 is currently in progress, and the stewardship recipient, the California Mule Deer Foundation, will continue removing cull decks of material that has deteriorated to the point it is no longer suitable for traditional sawtimber product. Phases 2 and 3, which both involve primarily non-commercial hazard tree abatement and associated hazardous fuels reduction in the M10-Letts project area, are contingent on the availability of funds and are not currently in progress.
- 3. The Forest Service may continue to remove hazard trees for non-sawtimber, primarily non-commercial purposes, and dispose of excess downed fuels in accordance with Forest Plan standards, in the entire Project Area. Such removal will follow the Forest's operative hazard tree identification criteria contained in the Hazard Tree Guidelines for Forest Service Facilities and Roads in the Pacific Southwest Region (Angwin et al. 2012), AR000449-AR000488, and may consist of:
 - a. dropping and treating fuel loading on site of larger hazard trees (greater than 14" dbh); and
 - b. removing smaller hazard trees (less than 14" dbh) to avoid excess fuel loadings.
- 4. Trees felled or removed consistent with paragraph 3 may be disposed of through any of the following means: pile and burn; masticate or chip; and disposal through service

contracts. Small diameter material (less than 14" dbh) may also be removed as biomass or other forest-related products such as chip board, fuelwood, and fence posts, but not as saw logs.

- 5. The Forest Service may remove any cull logs¹ that were decked as of the date of the filing of this Stipulation.
- 6. Subject to paragraph 7 below, the Parties agree to settle Plaintiff's claim to attorneys' fees and costs in this litigation for a total payment of \$191,000.00 pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 2412 *et seq.* or any other statute, in full and complete satisfaction of any and all claims, demands, rights, and causes of action Plaintiff may have for the recovery of attorneys' fees or litigation costs in this matter.
- 7. Defendants' payment, as identified in paragraph 6 above, shall be accomplished by electronic funds transfer to Plaintiff's counsel's IOLTA account on behalf of Plaintiff.

 Counsel for Plaintiff will provide counsel for Defendants the appropriate account number and other information needed to facilitate payment. Defendants shall submit the necessary paperwork for the payment within forty five (45) days after (a) Plaintiff provides the information necessary to facilitate payment, or (b) the Court issues the Order described in paragraph 10 below, whichever is later.
- 8. Counsel for Plaintiff acknowledge that they are receiving payment on behalf of Plaintiff and that they will distribute the appropriate settlement proceeds to Plaintiff. Plaintiff agrees to this procedure. Counsel for Plaintiff shall confirm payment within ten (10) days of receipt. Plaintiff also acknowledges that under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3711, 3716, 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d),

¹ Cull logs are damaged trees, of any size, that are not merchantable as saw logs. The wood has now deteriorated to the point that is has no commercial value. Cull decks are piles of cull logs.

31 C.F.R. §§ 285.5, 901.3, and other authorities, the United States will offset against the award

of attorneys' fees and costs Plaintiffs' delinquent debts to the United States, if any. See Astrue v.

further suit, or claim arising from the payment of the agreed upon \$191,000.00 settlement

approving this Agreement and dismissing this action in its entirety with prejudice pursuant to

or concession on the part of any Party with respect to any fact, claim, or defense in this action.

This Agreement shall have no precedential value. Plaintiff and Defendants agree that this

Agreement will not be used as evidence or otherwise in any pending or future civil or

administrative action by or against Defendants, or the United States, or any agency or

instrumentality of the United States. Defendants do not waive any defenses they may have

Plaintiff and their attorneys agree to hold harmless Defendants in any litigation,

The Parties stipulate and agree and hereby request that the Court enter an order

This Agreement does not constitute, and shall not be construed as, an admission

Nothing in this Agreement prohibits Defendants from undertaking new projects or

Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586 (2010).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2).

concerning the claims settled under this Agreement.

agency actions within the original Project Area.

9.

10.

11.

12.

amount.

6

10

12

11

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Nothing in this Agreement prohibits Plaintiff from filing future lawsuits against
 Defendants to challenge any future final agency action undertaken by Defendants.
 The Agreement contains all of the agreements between Plaintiff and Defendants

14. The Agreement contains all of the agreements between Plaintiff and Defendants, and is intended to be the complete and final agreement between them. Plaintiff and Defendants

agree that any prior or contemporaneous representations or understanding not explicitly

1	contained in this written Agreement, whether written or oral, are of no further legal or equitable			
2	force or effect.			
3	15. The undersigned representatives of each Party certify that they are fully			
4	authorized by the Parties they represent to agree to the Court's entry of the terms and conditions			
5	of this Agreement and do hereby agree to the terms herein.			
6	16. This Agreement becomes effective on the date the Court issues the Order			
7	referenced in paragraph 10 above.			
8 9	René P Natural 15 Alde	¿ P. Voss . Voss (CA Bar No. 255758) . Resources Law erney Road		
10	(415) 4	selmo, CA 94960 46-9027		
11		oss@gmail.com		
12 13	Public 1	enna (CO Bar No. 22159) Interest Environmental Law 2nd Ave., Suite 11B		
14	Durang 4 (970) 7	50, CO 81301 49-9149		
15		kenna.net c Vice		
16	6 Attorne	rys for Plaintiff		
17		E WHILLIAMS		
18	8 Acting	E. WILLIAMS Assistant Attorney General		
19		epartment of Justice nment & Natural Resources Division		
20		<u>y J. Sullivan</u> Y J. SULLIVAN (DC Bar No.		
21		0)		
22	JOHN Senior	P. TUSTIN (TX Bar No. 24056458) Attorney		
23		U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division		
24				

Case 3:19-cv-06643-EMC Document 87 Filed 04/05/21 Page 8 of 8

1	Natural Resources Section 4 Constitution Square
2	150 M Street NE Washington, DC 20002 Tal: (202) 514 0260 (Sulliyon)
3 4	Tel: (202) 514-9269 (Sullivan) (202) 305-3022 (Tustin) Fax: (202) 305-0506
5	sally.sullivan@usdoj.gov john.tustin@usdoj.gov
6	Of Counsel: Ritu Ahuja
7	Attorney Office of the General Counsel
8	U.S. Department of Agriculture
9	Attorneys for Defendants
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	